



SCUMBAG

"Defense" Attorneys



Mac Doubles & Terry Grimes

Both Liars and Crooks

**Neither of these two, that have
a license to practice law,
were qualified to represent a
death penalty case. That is
why
they were appointed to do so.**

These two attorneys were either appointed to "defend" Bramblett because of their inability, or because they agreed to throw the case.

The trial came out the same either way.

"Defense" opening and closing. Someone left the following comment on my Guest Book:

"When I was on jury duty several years ago in another case defended by Terry Grimes the other jurors actually made fun of Mr. Grimes. I think that speaks for his incompetence as a trial lawyer."

When Bramblett first asked me to look into his case, I wrote both Doubles and Grimes. Grimes called when he got the letter and wanted to know all about me. I thought they would welcome anyone trying to help their client, but it was the very opposite.

Grimes said he would have to check with the Virginia State Bar Association and sent me a copy of the letter. I talked with Grimes a week later, and he told me the Bar Association said I shouldn't see the files. This was a LIE. The Bar Association never told him that, but he continued tell other people that same lie.

I had a perfectly legal right to see Bramblett's files but Grimes continued to withhold them.

The first time I had a chance to inspect the files was in the Will Lindsey's office and the discovery evidence was not there. Grimes destroyed the discovery evidence or kept it. Grimes is a liar and two bit crook as well as an incompetent lawyer. The files should have included interviews and everything the prosecution used in the trial as well as police interviews, etc. None of that was there. This would undeniably have shown collusion or incompetence

The habeas attorney, Will Lindsey, and later joined by Jennifer Givens, of the Virginia Capital Resource Center, never requested this information. They would work without the missing information than show Grimes and Doubles as crooks and incompetents. I furnished Lindsey the FBI-FOIA material, and information about the street lights and prison snitch. He ignored it in the habeas appeal. After I left Roanoke Lindsey would not return my calls or Earl's brother calls. I was the one that contacted the jail house snitch, showed Lindsey the firearm evidence was a lie, showed them about the lights not

installed where the old lady saw the truck. I gave them the FBI-FOIA files. This was Lindsey and Given ignoring hard evidence. None of this was ever used.

Doubles let Grimes do the dirty work. Doubles did the dirty work in trial, accepting all "experts" before even hearing their qualifications, asking non-sequitur questions of witnesses, and asking the same questions the prosecution had just asked. It was pathetic to the point of being embarrassing.

These attorneys murdered Bramblett.

Mr. Grimes,

Dec 9-1997

I did not testify in my main trial because you told me that "I would be shooting myself in my foot as far as my appeals went if I testified" Then after my trial you acted like you had never heard of that concept. Then you told me I would never walk away from this and that the best I could hope for would be to avoid the death penalty. If this is still your attitude I'm asking you to step down from my appeal process and let me ask the judge for a new lawyer to handle my appeal.

I am notifying Mr. Doubles that he is fired as far as I am concerned. His performance as my defense attorney went beyond incompetent. It had to be the most pathetic performance that any attorney ever put forth in a capital case. After his opening, almost non-statement, I was extremely concerned and I brought up my concern to you. You said, at that time, that you would make the closing argument, which you did not do. I was shocked and disappointed.

Dec 9, 1997

I witnessed, in court, Mr. Doubles write his closing argument in the ten minutes before he delivered it.

Now I recognize that you basically defended me alone in this case and you concentrated on saving my life - after I was found guilty. And therein lies the problem. Both you and Mr. Doubles conceded my defeat and made almost no effort to challenge the prosecution's case against me. I cannot help but feel you will make the same effort in my appeals. I had a right to a vigorous defense in my trial and I did not get it. I have a right to a vigorous effort in my appeal and I feel I want get one.

I also feel, you, Mr. Doubles, and Mr. Williams were more concerned with covering up the police action that was going on in Vinton, Va against me three, four, and five years ago than defending me. Why else didn't you investigate the three or four attempts of espionage that Blaine Hodges carried out against me for the police. They were checkable events and Mr. Williams wouldn't touch them. Finally Mr. Doubles said he would check on them personally a couple of weeks before trial.

Dec 9 - 1997

But he never did. It certainly seems to me that covering up that police program that Blaine Hodges was involved in was your main priority.

To refresh your memory let me restate for probably the 30th time. There was a 2 part police program going on in the Hodges house hold before the deaths of the Hodges.

#1. There was a sexual entrapment program going on where the police were using Winter Hodges as sexual bait, as a slab of meat I attempted to prove this part by using my recorder and making tapes. After the trial I realized why Mr. Doubles and Mr. Williams wouldn't allow me to hear those tapes before trial. The first major tape played by the prosecution was played without the first ten minutes of pre-explanation as to why I was making the tape. What was played was used to plant the false impression in the jurys mind that I was getting a thrill from the conversation with Winter instead of attempting to prove the entrapment program. Was the first part of that tape already erased by Keese? Is that why you didn't let me hear it or why you didn't challenge it?

1.070

Dec 9-1997

The sexual entrapment was the 1st part of the police and Hodges Program. The 2nd part was the simple espionage program taking place.

- 1.) The Hodges family got me to go to the Vinton Fair to "accidentally" bump into Pam Christiansen. In her court testimony she quickly denied being there. Why was she so ready to deny being there? Why did she deny being interviewed by the FBI and local police ten years ago? Who got her to deny that? and why?
- 2.) The Hodges family got me to go to the Salem Fair to accidentally bump into Walter Roder. Walters name is in the phone book. Why wouldnt you at least call him? Who were you defending, me or the police?
- 3.) Blaine Hodges knew where Sherrie Coleman worked in Blue Ridge Va. How and why did he know that.
- 4.) There was an attempt to get me out by the old A-frame of mine. I gave you names and details. Why wouldnt you investigate that??

Dec 9, 1997

5.) If you combine the above items with the illegal acts that Blaine Hodges seemingly was let off the hook for by the police you have the reason the police made an instant assumption of my guilt. You have the reason the police framed me royally.

For you to attempt to defend me without challenging the police in this case was an error of huge magnitude. It resulted in my conviction and my death sentence.

Even now Mr. Grimes, you persist in believing I had a mental problem and I was delusional. Let me state it clearly for you. There was a dirty rotten police program going on in Blaine Hodges household. 3-4-5 years ago, where the police were using Winton Hodges as sexual bait, a slab of meat, in an attempt to entrap me in a crime. Somebody killed the Hodges family but I did not. I feel it was someone that ~~was~~ had intimate knowledge of that police program. A Vinton cop? Mike Falcher? Blaine's brother? I don't know, but I did not.

In spite of my clearly pointing out to you the situation in Va before the death

Dec 9-1997

you choose to ignore the police motive to lie and frame me which they did.

I also pointed out to you after trial that the testimony of Laurie Paxton during trial indicated to me that "somebody" on my defense team shared information with the prosecution that I provided my defense team to aid in my defense. We both know that that incident that Laurie Paxton partially testified to has been quoted in the newspapers many times over the last 10 + years. I wrote up that incident under the heading "Sharon and Laurie" to enable you to cross examine these ladies and expose the lie involved. Somebody on my defense team shared that information with Mr. Burkhart. I have already asked you about this Mr. Grimes and I tend to believe your denial that it wasn't you.

But I now see that the police have the means to carry forth that lie in other court proceedings.

February 25, 1999

Terry Grimes, Attorney
320 Elm Ave., SW
Roanoke, VA 24016-4001

Dear Sir,

This letter is in response to our brief conversation on Tuesday, February 24, 1999 in the vestibule of your law office. At that time you indicated that you were not going to honor Mr. Bramblett's wishes and let me inspect the records and file of his case that are in your possession.

I would like to have a hard copy of your refusal. If you will put that in writing and send it to me I will proceed on that basis. Otherwise, I'm asking again, may I inspect the information you have about his case?

I am enclosing a copy of Mr. Bramblett's Limited Power of Attorney where he has given me authority to work for his interest. I delivered to you, in person, the original hand written copy that Mr. Bramblett sent authorizing you and others to release such documents for my inspection. Both documents are notarized with seal.

Sincerely,

Douglas Chandler Graham
5224 Dresden Lane
Roanoke, VA 24012-8575
(540) 977-1123
Fax (540) 977-1446

Z 127 619 702 ✓

US Postal Service
Receipt for Certified Mail
No Insurance Coverage Provided.
Do not use for International Mail (See reverse)

Send to: <i>Terry Grimes, Att.</i> Street & Number: <i>320 Elm Ave</i> City, State, & ZIP Code: <i>Roanoke, Va 24016</i>	
---	--

In your RETURN ADDRESS completed on the reverse side: SENDER: • Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services. • Complete items 3, 4a, and 4b. • Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you. • Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit. • Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article number. • The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and the date delivered.	I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee): 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee's Address 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Restricted Delivery Consult postmaster for fee.
	3. Article Addressed to: <i>Terry Grimes, Att.</i> <i>320 Elm Ave</i> <i>Roanoke, Va 24016</i>
5. Received By: (Print Name) 6. Signature: (Addressee or Agent) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Douglas Chandler Graham</i>	7. Date of Delivery <i>2/26/99</i> 8. Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)

PS Form 3811, December 1994 52474-99-0022 Domestic Return Receipt

Note the date on this letter. It was right after Earl's trial.

Grimes never let me inspect the files. I mention the brief "conversation" in the vestibule. This is where he told me the lie about the Bar Association not to show me see the files. The Bar said it wasn't true.

RETURN

[Sign my Guestbook](#)  [View my Guestbook](#)